

# **Too Great A Burden:**

*Ohio's  
Families  
At Risk*



Families USA  
December 2007

---

**Too Great a Burden:  
Ohio's Families at Risk**

Families USA Publication No. 07-112OH

© 2007 Families USA

---

**This report was written by:**

*Kim Bailey, Health Policy Analyst*

and

*Beth Wikler, Health Policy Analyst*

**The following Families USA staff contributed to the  
preparation of this report:**

*Ron Pollack, Executive Director*

*Kathleen Stoll, Director of Health Policy*

*Peggy Denker, Director of Publications*

*Ingrid VanTuinen, Writer-Editor*

*Jenelle Partelow, Editorial Associate*

*Nancy Magill, Design/Production Coordinator*

---

Families USA

1201 New York Avenue NW, Suite 1100

Washington, DC 20005

Phone: 202-628-3030

E-mail: [info@familiesusa.org](mailto:info@familiesusa.org)

[www.familiesusa.org](http://www.familiesusa.org)

---

## INTRODUCTION

Over the past eight years, relentless growth in health insurance premiums and out-of-pocket costs has made spending on health care an increasing burden. For many Ohioans, this means that health care is consuming an ever-growing share of their budgets, forcing them to make difficult sacrifices in other areas so they can make ends meet. And for many hard-working families, the burden of these health care costs has become too great to bear.

In Ohio alone, 2,195,000 people under the age of 65—more than one in five non-elderly Ohioans—are in families that will spend more than 10 percent of their pre-tax family income on health care costs in 2008. The vast majority (86.2 percent) of these people *have insurance*. In Ohio, 589,000 non-elderly people—more than four out of five of whom *have insurance*—are in families that will spend more than 25 percent of their pre-tax income on health care costs in 2008.

In addition, the number of Ohioans facing high health care costs has grown substantially over the last eight years. Between 2000 and 2008, the number of people in families that spend more than 10 percent of their pre-tax income on health care will have increased by 365,000. The number of people in families spending more than 25 percent of their family income on health care will have increased by 105,000. With a growing share of Ohio's families spending a substantial share of their income on health care, rising costs are putting thousands of families at risk.

## KEY FINDINGS

### Millions of Ohioans Are Affected by High Health Care Costs

- More than one out of five non-elderly Ohioans—2,195,000—is in a family that will spend more than 10 percent of its pre-tax income on health care costs in 2008 (Table 1).
- 589,000 Ohioans are in families that will spend more than 25 percent of their pre-tax income on health care costs in 2008 (Table 1).

Table 1

Ohioans in Families with High Health Care Costs, 2000 to 2008

| Share of Pre-Tax Family Income Spent On Health Care | 2000      |                 | 2008      |                 | Increase |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|
|                                                     | Number    | Percent of Pop. | Number    | Percent of Pop. |          |
| More than 10 Percent                                | 1,829,000 | 18.1%           | 2,195,000 | 22.0%           | 365,000  |
| More than 25 Percent                                | 484,000   | 4.8%            | 589,000   | 5.9%            | 105,000  |

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Source: Estimates prepared by The Lewin Group for Families USA.

### A Growing Burden: More Ohioans with High Health Care Costs, 2000 to 2008

- In 2000, 1,829,000 non-elderly Ohioans were in families that spent more than 10 percent of their pre-tax income on health care costs (Table 1).
- Between 2000 and 2008, the number of people in families spending more than 10 percent of their pre-tax income on health care costs will have increased by 365,000 (Table 1).
- In 2000, 484,000 Ohioans were in families that spent more than 25 percent of their pre-tax income on health care costs (Table 1).
- Between 2000 and 2008, the number of people in families spending more than 25 percent of their pre-tax income on health care costs will have increased by 105,000 (Table 1).

### Thousands of Insured Ohioans Are Affected

- Nearly nine out of 10 people (86.2 percent) in families spending more than 10 percent of their pre-tax income on health care costs *are insured* (Table 2).
- 1,891,000 non-elderly Ohioans *with insurance* are in families that will spend more than 10 percent of their pre-tax income on health care costs in 2008 (Table 3).
- More than four out of five people (80.3 percent) in families spending more than 25 percent of their pre-tax income on health care costs *are insured* (Table 2).
- 473,000 Ohioans *with insurance* are in families that will spend more than 25 percent of their pre-tax income on health care costs in 2008 (Table 3).

Table 2

Insurance Status of Ohioans in Families with High Health Care Costs, 2008

| Share of Family Pre-Tax Income Spent on Health Care | People with High Health Care Costs |           | Percent Insured |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
|                                                     | With Insurance                     | Total     |                 |
| More than 10 Percent                                | 1,891,000                          | 2,195,000 | 86.2%           |
| More than 25 Percent                                | 473,000                            | 589,000   | 80.3%           |

Source: Estimates prepared by The Lewin Group for Families USA.

Table 3

Insured Ohioans in Families with High Health Care Costs, 2000 to 2008

| Share of Family Pre-Tax Income Spent on Health Care | 2000      | 2008      | Increase |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| More than 10 Percent                                | 1,541,000 | 1,891,000 | 350,000  |
| More than 25 Percent                                | 377,000   | 473,000   | 96,000   |

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Source: Estimates prepared by The Lewin Group for Families USA.

## FAMILY BUDGETS: HOW TIGHT ARE THEY?

Health care costs that equal 10 percent or more of a family's pre-tax income represent a significant burden for working families and their already tight budgets. See, for example, this budget for a family of four with a gross annual income of \$60,000.



### A Typical Family Budget

|                                                                              |                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Gross Annual Income                                                          | \$ 60,000       |
| Less Taxes (federal, state, and local taxes)                                 | 11,160          |
| Disposable Income (gross income minus taxes)                                 | \$ 48,840       |
| Annual Expenses                                                              |                 |
| Housing and Utilities                                                        | 16,680          |
| Transportation                                                               | 10,940          |
| Food, Beverages, and Personal Care Items                                     | 9,650           |
| Pets, Sports, Entertainment, and Reading Materials                           | 2,660           |
| Education and Miscellaneous Expenses                                         | 2,530           |
| Clothing and Footwear                                                        | 2,310           |
| Personal Insurance (non-health) and Pensions                                 | 1,080           |
| Less Total Expenses                                                          | \$ 45,850       |
| <b>Amount Left to Pay for Health Care (disposable income minus expenses)</b> | <b>\$ 2,990</b> |

About this example: The Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy supplied the tax burden for this illustration. Expenditures were derived from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. A methodology is available upon request.

This family has only \$2,990 left after paying for housing, food, and other necessities. The health care expenses they will need to cover with this \$2,990 include: health insurance premiums, payments for physician and hospital services (including copayments and deductibles), prescription drugs, over-the-counter medications, and medical supplies.

But what if this family's health care expenses come to more than \$2,990? What if these costs add up to \$6,000—10 percent of their pre-tax income—as happens to so many American families? As this report shows, 2.2 million Ohioans are in families that will spend more than 10 percent of their income on health care costs in 2008. In this particular example, the family would have to find another \$3,010 to cover their health care costs—or go into debt.

|                                     | Burden of 10%    | Burden of 25%     |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Dollars Left to Pay For Health Care | \$2,990          | \$2,990           |
| Actual Cost of Health Care          | - \$ 6,000       | - \$15,000        |
| <b>SHORTFALL</b>                    | <b>- \$3,010</b> | <b>- \$12,010</b> |

## DISCUSSION

To determine how many Ohioans face health care costs in excess of 10 and 25 percent of pre-tax family income in 2000 and 2008, Families USA asked The Lewin Group to analyze data from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and the U.S. Census Bureau. The results are troubling: 2.2 million Ohioans—more than one out of five non-elderly state residents—are in families that will spend more than 10 percent of their pre-tax income on health care costs in 2008 (Table 1). Nearly nine out of ten (86.2 percent) of these people *have insurance* (Table 2). What's more, 589,000 people—more than four-fifths of whom *have insurance*—are in families that will spend more than 25 percent of their pre-tax income on health care costs in 2008 (Tables 1 and 2).

### Why Is the Number of People with High Health Care Costs Increasing?

As our analysis demonstrates, millions of Americans are in families that face high health care costs, and this number has increased substantially over the last eight years. A number of factors have driven this phenomenon. First and foremost, health insurance premiums are increasing. As premiums rise, employers are forced to make tough decisions about the coverage they offer to their employees: some drop coverage, others increase the share of the premium that employees must pay, and more offer insurance that covers fewer services and/or requires high out-of-pocket costs. This, in turn, means that American families must shoulder a greater proportion of health care costs.

#### ■ Premiums on the Rise

As health insurance premiums increase, so too does the burden these costs impose on American families. And, in the last few years, health insurance premiums have risen significantly. Between 2000 and 2007 alone, the average annual premium for job-based family health coverage rose from \$6,351 to \$12,106, an increase of more than 90 percent.<sup>1</sup> During the same period, the average worker's share of annual family premiums rose from \$1,656 to \$3,281, an increase of more than 98 percent.<sup>2</sup>

Two primary factors, rising health care costs and insurance company practices, account for the lion's share of premium increases.

#### ■ Rising Health Care Costs

Much of the increase in underlying health care costs is accounted for by rising spending on services such as prescription drugs and hospital care.<sup>3</sup> For example, annual spending on prescription drugs more than doubled from 2000 to 2008, rising from \$120.8 billion to a projected \$247.6 billion.<sup>4</sup> Likewise, spending on hospital services rose from \$417.0 billion in 2000 to a projected \$747.2 billion in 2008, an increase of nearly 80 percent.<sup>5</sup>

While rising spending on prescription drugs and hospital care account for a substantial portion of the increase in underlying health care costs, the growing use of new medical technologies also plays a significant role. Advances in the tools used to diagnose and treat medical conditions, including the development of new surgical procedures, biologic drugs, and medical devices, have all improved health care. These high-tech procedures, however, come at a price; some health care experts estimate that the use of new technology accounts for as much as half of the increase in health care spending.<sup>6</sup>

Together, rising spending on health care services and increased use of new technologies have driven up the cost of care provided in the U.S. Between 2000 and 2008, the amount we spend per person each year on health care is projected to grow by nearly two-thirds (64.4 percent), increasing from \$4,034 to \$6,631.<sup>7</sup> This, in turn, results in higher premiums.

#### ■ **An Insurance Market without Necessary Protections**

While underlying health care costs are the largest cause of rising premiums, the growing advantage that insurance companies have over American families also plays a role in premium increases. A 2007 study found that there were more than 400 insurance company mergers in the last 12 years, resulting in near-monopoly power among insurance companies. In nearly two-thirds of major metropolitan areas, a single insurance company controls at least half of the market, and in 96 percent of metropolitan areas, a single insurer controls at least 30 percent of the market.<sup>8</sup>

The near-monopoly power of insurance companies, coupled with little or no regulation of insurers, is a prescription for rising premiums. Currently, insurance companies are governed by a hodgepodge of state and federal rules. In many states, insurance companies have free reign over how much of each dollar they collect in premiums is spent on providing care and how much is retained as profit or spent on overhead, such as advertising and marketing. In addition, in some markets, insurers are free to charge people more—or deny coverage altogether—based on age, health status, and a range of other factors.<sup>9</sup> This increases premiums even more for the very people most likely to need comprehensive, affordable health coverage. Without appropriate consumer protections and rules to govern the influence and growth of large insurers, premiums are likely to continue their rapid ascent.

### **What Rising Premiums Mean for Employers**

As premiums increase, it becomes more difficult for employers to offer their employees quality, affordable health coverage. Faced with the growing burden of health care costs, employers must make difficult decisions about the coverage they are able to provide to their employees. For some employers, particularly those that operate small businesses, the cost of health insurance has become too much to bear. Between 2000 and 2007, the total number of firms

offering health coverage declined by 9 percentage points (from 69 percent of firms to 60 percent), with small businesses being the most likely to drop coverage.<sup>10</sup>

While some employers have been forced to cut coverage across the board, others have dropped coverage for specific groups of people or placed limits on which employees are eligible. Some employers, for example, have found that it is no longer financially viable to offer coverage for workers' spouses and children (dependent coverage). Between 2001 and 2005, a loss of dependent coverage accounted for 11 percent of the decline in job-based coverage.<sup>11</sup> In addition, many employers do not offer coverage to part-time, temporary, or seasonal workers.<sup>12</sup> Others now require that employees work for the company for a period of time before becoming eligible for coverage. In 2007, three out of four employers (75 percent) imposed a waiting period for coverage, with the average waiting period being just over two months.<sup>13</sup>

The vast majority of employers who have continued offering coverage have been forced to shift some of the burden of rising health care costs onto their workers, usually by increasing the amount that workers are required to pay toward insurance premiums.<sup>14</sup> Others have resorted to “thinning” coverage—offering health insurance that covers fewer services and/or comes with higher deductibles, copayments, and co-insurance.<sup>15</sup> In addition, insurance coverage is evolving to require more cost-sharing for certain services, such as prescription drugs and hospital care. For example, more than 95 percent of people with job-based coverage are now required to pay hospital-specific cost-sharing, and more than 90 percent are in tiered drug plans that charge more for some drugs than for others.<sup>16</sup>

These trends are likely to continue in coming years, with nearly half (45 percent) of firms saying they are “very likely” or “somewhat likely” to raise employees' premium contributions, 42 percent saying they are very or somewhat likely to increase cost-sharing for doctor's visits, and 37 percent saying they are very or somewhat likely to raise deductibles in 2008.<sup>17</sup>

The thinning of coverage and the increasing number of plans that require higher deductibles and cost-sharing reflect a trend toward coverage that shifts financial risk onto families.<sup>18</sup> A range of “consumer-directed” plans have gained popularity among employers in recent years as a way to hold down costs. Although relatively few people have chosen to participate in these plans (only 5 percent of employees in 2007), 18 percent of companies with more than 1,000 employees and 10 percent of all firms now offer plans that pair high-deductible coverage with tax-sheltered health savings accounts (HSAs).<sup>19</sup>

New trends that shift financial risk onto families have been facilitated by changes in federal law and regulations that have been promoted by the current Administration. For example, in 2006, employers were given an additional impetus to move to higher deductible plans when Congress passed the Administration's proposal to increase the size of tax shelters for high-deductible plans linked to health savings accounts. These plans offer little or no benefit to low-income families, but they do provide a lucrative tax shelter for the wealthiest Americans.<sup>20</sup>

In addition, employers attempting to rein in costs are turning to programs that make workers directly responsible for their health care costs. In 2007, the Administration issued rules that amend federal insurance anti-discrimination protections.<sup>21</sup> These changes allow employers to charge workers more for their health insurance if they do not participate in certain health programs—or just because they have high blood pressure or other indicators of less-than-perfect health. Employers that have implemented these programs have gone so far as to dock the paychecks of workers who are unable to meet standards for cholesterol, blood pressure, and other similar measures.<sup>22</sup>

### Consequences for American Families

More families than ever are facing burdensome health care costs, regardless of their insurance status. Rising premiums are only part of this equation. Now, millions of insured Americans live in families that face health care costs that exceed 10 percent of their pre-tax income. Insurance simply no longer offers the protection that America's families need.

As health care costs consume a growing share of family budgets, many families are forced to look for new ways to pay for care. With the majority of doctors' offices and hospitals now accepting payment by credit card, paying for health services via credit card is becoming increasingly common. In 2001 alone, for example, Visa reports that Americans charged \$19.5 billion in health care services to Visa cards.<sup>23</sup> In addition, credit cards and loans marketed specifically for the purchase of medical care are becoming more common. Currently, there are at least nine separate lenders that offer medical credit cards and loans.<sup>24</sup> Cards such as the HELPcard and the CareCredit card allow people to get the health services they need, but these cards often come with terms and conditions that can trip up all but the most cautious consumer. While introductory offers may promise low interest rates, these rates often skyrocket when the introductory period ends or one late payment is made (see "Compounding the Problem: Medical Credit Card Debt" on page 9).

Given rising costs and an increased reliance on credit to pay for medical care, it comes as no surprise that a growing share of Americans reports having trouble with medical bills. More than one in four people *with insurance* report having trouble paying their medical bills or say that they are in the process of paying off medical debt.<sup>25</sup> The problem is even worse for people who are in health plans that have high premiums, that charge hefty cost-sharing, or that offer limited benefits.<sup>26</sup> Moreover, people in families that spend a higher percentage of their income on health care are more likely to suffer from problems with medical bills and medical debt. A 2003 study found that nearly half (46 percent) of insured families with high health care costs reported being contacted by a collection agency regarding medical bills in the last year, and more than one-third (35 percent) took drastic measures, such as re-mortgaging their home or running up credit card debt, to pay medical bills.<sup>27</sup>

When the burden of health care costs becomes too great, the consequences can be catastrophic. Faced with medical debt, families often have no choice but to consider drastic changes in lifestyle and, eventually, bankruptcy. One study found that, in the two years prior to filing for bankruptcy, more than 40 percent of families lost telephone service, approximately one-fifth went without food, and more than one-half went without needed medical or dental care because of the costs associated with that care.<sup>28</sup> When no options remain, bankruptcy is often the last resort for families. Since 2000, 5 million American families have filed for bankruptcy following a serious medical problem.<sup>29</sup> In all, approximately half of bankruptcies are due, at least in part, to medical expenses.<sup>30</sup>

## CONCLUSION

As health care costs rise and a greater share of these costs is passed on to Ohioans, the state's hard-working families are put at risk. Tens of thousands of Ohioans are in families spending more than 10 percent—or even more than 25 percent—of their pre-tax income on health care costs, and this problem has grown substantially over the past eight years. With the economic stability of Ohio's families hanging in the balance, something must be done to bring costs under control.

### WHAT CAN FAMILIES REASONABLY AFFORD?

This report looks at how many non-elderly people are in families that will spend more than 10 percent, and more than 25 percent, of their pre-tax income on health care costs in 2000 and 2008. The 10 percent threshold is commonly cited as the point at which health care costs become a significant financial burden for families.<sup>31</sup>

*Our report does not suggest that 10 percent of income is an appropriate standard for affordability for all families. Spending 10 percent of income on health care costs is more than most low- and middle-income families can reasonably afford.<sup>32</sup> Middle-class families with health costs that exceed 10 percent of their income will find their finances strained and may have to go into debt to cover medical expenses. Paying high health care costs will be an even greater burden for low-income families.*

In light of this, state health reform laws should use a sliding scale to determine how much families pay for health coverage. For example, in Massachusetts' recent health reform, "affordability" was defined as approximately 4 percent of income for people earning 300 percent of the federal poverty level (approximately \$62,000 for a family of four) and as an increasing percentage for people with higher incomes.<sup>33</sup>

## COMPOUNDING THE PROBLEM: MEDICAL CREDIT CARD DEBT

Families with high health care costs and tight budgets are turning to credit cards to finance their health care needs. This trend is driven in part by the rising number of providers—hospitals, pharmacists, and physicians—who not only accept credit cards, but who also offer medical-specific credit cards to their patients.

The following chart highlights the terms and conditions of three medical-specific credit cards:

| Credit Card Company And Plan Name                    | Promotional Interest Rate           | Interest Rate (APR) | Default Interest Rate (Delinquency APR) |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Aetna's Healthy Living Visa, Preferred Accounts Plan | No Interest for 12 Billing Cycles   | 15.99%              | 29.99%                                  |
| CareCredit, No Interest Promotional Plan             | No Interest for 3, 12, or 18 Months | 22.98%              | 28.99%                                  |
| The HELP Card                                        | Not Applicable                      | 22.74% <sup>a</sup> | 29.74% <sup>b</sup>                     |

<sup>a</sup> The interest rate is the prime interest rate plus 14.99%. At the time this report was written, the prime interest rate was 7.75%. Total interest cannot be less than 22.99% and is not to exceed 29.99%.

<sup>b</sup> The interest rate is the prime interest rate plus 21.99%. At the time this report was written, the prime interest rate was 7.75%. Total interest is not to exceed 29.99%.

Credit card companies profit most when people are unable to pay off their balance in full. In 2005, credit card companies generated more than \$25-\$30 billion in revenue from basic customer transactions, in which the balance is paid in full each month. However, companies made more than twice that amount—\$79 billion—from interest and late fee revenues.<sup>34</sup>

## A POUND OF FLESH: AMERICANS FACING HIGH HEALTH CARE COSTS

With rising health care costs and thinning coverage, families are paying more out of pocket for their health care. Millions of people have had to make significant financial sacrifices to pay for their medical care. Too often, however, these sacrifices are not enough, and many families find themselves shouldering heavy medical debt. More than a third of non-elderly adults—34 percent—have had trouble paying their health care bills, are paying off accrued medical debt, or both.<sup>35</sup> High medical costs and medical debt can compromise a family's access to health care and undermine its economic security.

### No Guarantee: Coverage without Adequate Protection

- More than three out of five adults who report having problems paying their medical bills had insurance at the time they incurred their debt.<sup>36</sup>
- 78 percent of those with private insurance and medical debt work full-time.<sup>37</sup>
- Two-thirds of privately insured adults with medical debt have household incomes between \$20,000 and \$75,000.<sup>38</sup>

### Thinning Benefits: Individuals Bear the Burden

- Thinner benefit plans mean that people have to pay more to obtain basic health care services. Among Americans who have trouble paying their medical bills, 85 percent report that the bills included doctor bills, 62 percent report that the bills included lab fees, and 56 report that the bills included prescription drugs.<sup>39</sup>
- Plans with high deductibles are burdensome for American families. Half of adults enrolled in plans that have a yearly deductible of \$500 or more struggle to pay medical costs.<sup>40</sup>
- Higher out-of-pocket costs are driven, in part, by the rising number of services that are excluded from coverage. Those with medical debt were less likely to have prescription drug coverage, dental coverage, vision benefits, or mental health coverage than were others with private coverage.<sup>41</sup> For example, among non-elderly insured adults without prescription drug coverage, 48 percent report having problems with medical bills or medical debt.<sup>42</sup>
- People who had reached the limit of what their insurance companies would pay for a specific service or illness were more than twice as likely to have problems paying their medical bills, have medical debt, or both as people who had not reached the coverage limit (65 percent versus 30 percent).<sup>43</sup>

### **Cost: A Barrier to Access**

- People with medical debt are more likely to delay or forgo care. More than three times as many adults with medical debt or medical bill problems went without needed care because of costs compared to adults without medical debt or medical bill problems (63 percent versus 19 percent).<sup>44</sup> Insured adults who report having medical debt are four times more likely than insured adults without medical debt to postpone medical care due to cost.<sup>45</sup>
- Insured people with medical debt are more than twice as likely to go without a needed prescription as those without debt (24 percent versus 9 percent).<sup>46</sup>
- Health care providers are using more aggressive billing and debt collection practices, which have also made it difficult for people with medical debt to obtain care. Increasingly, providers are requiring payment for services at the time they are provided, deterring people who cannot afford the cost of care or forcing people to pay with credit cards.<sup>47</sup>

### **Families at Risk: Medical Costs Undermine Financial Security**

- Of all adults who report having medical bill problems or medical debt, 39 percent used up all of their savings to pay medical bills.<sup>48</sup>
- More than a third (35 percent) of insured people with high health care costs had to take substantial financial risks—such as running up high levels of credit card debt or taking out a loan or a mortgage against their home—to pay medical bills.<sup>49</sup>
- When medical debt becomes too great to bear, the consequences can be catastrophic. Legal action, such as seizure of wages, assets, and property, may be taken against people with unpaid medical bills.<sup>50</sup>
- Bankruptcy is often the last resort for families with high medical costs. About half of all personal bankruptcy cases are due, at least in part, to medical costs.<sup>51</sup> Since 2000, approximately 5 million families have filed for bankruptcy after experiencing a serious medical problem.<sup>52</sup> And, among those whose illness led to bankruptcy, more than three in four had insurance at the onset of the illness.<sup>53</sup>

### **Medical Debt Affects People's Well-Being**

- People with medical debt reported that their debt caused “significant stress, anxiety, and feelings of hopelessness.” They also identified their medical debt as a source of “embarrassment and shame,” despite the fact that they had no control over the medical event that caused their financial distress.<sup>54</sup>

## ENDNOTES

<sup>1</sup> Families USA calculations based on Kaiser Family Foundation and Health Research and Educational Trust, *Employer Health Benefits: 2000 Annual Survey* (Washington: Kaiser Family Foundation, 2000) and Kaiser Family Foundation and Health Research and Educational Trust, *Employer Health Benefits: 2007 Annual Survey* (Washington: Kaiser Family Foundation, September 2007).

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.

<sup>3</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation, *Prescription Drug Trends* (Washington: Kaiser Family Foundation, May 2007); Paul Ginsberg, Bradley Strunk, Michelle Banker, and John Cookson, *Tracking Health Care Costs: Spending Growth Remains Stable at High Rate in 2005* (Washington: Center for Studying Health System Change, October 2006).

<sup>4</sup> Families USA calculations based on Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, "National Health Expenditures Aggregate Amounts and Average Annual Percent Change, by Type of Expenditures: Selected Calendar Years 1960-2005," available online at <http://www.cms.hhs.gov/NationalHealthExpendData/downloads/tables.pdf>, accessed on September 5, 2007; and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, "National Health Expenditure Amounts, and Annual Percent Change by Type of Expenditure: Calendar Years 2001-2016," available online at <http://www.cms.hhs.gov/NationalHealthExpendData/downloads/proj2006.pdf>, accessed on September 5, 2007.

<sup>5</sup> Ibid.

<sup>6</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation, *Snapshots: Health Care Costs—How Changes in Medical Technology Affect Health Care Costs* (Washington: Kaiser Family Foundation, March 2007). See also Carlos Angrisano, Diana Farrell, Bob Kocher, Martha Laboisiere, and Sara Parker, *Accounting for the Cost of Health Care in the United States* (Washington: McKinsey Global Institute, January 2007); and Dana Goldman and Elizabeth McGlynn, *U.S. Health Care Facts about Cost, Access, and Quality* (Santa Monica: RAND Corporation, 2005).

<sup>7</sup> Families USA calculations based on Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, "National Health Expenditures Aggregate Amounts and Average Annual Percent Change, by Type of Expenditures: Selected Calendar Years 1960-2005," op. cit.; and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, "National Health Expenditure Amounts, and Annual Percent Change by Type of Expenditure: Calendar Years 2001-2016," op. cit.

<sup>8</sup> American Medical Association, *Competition in Health Insurance: A Comprehensive Study of U.S. Markets, 2007 Update* (Chicago: American Medical Association, 2007).

<sup>9</sup> Cheryl Fish-Parcham, *Understanding How Health Insurance Premiums Are Regulated* (Washington: Families USA, September 2006).

<sup>10</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation and Health Research and Educational Trust, *Employer Health Benefits: 2007 Annual Survey*, op. cit.

<sup>11</sup> Lisa Clemens-Cope, Bowen Garrett, and Catherine Hoffman, *Changes in Employees' Health Insurance Coverage, 2001-2005* (Washington: Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured, October 2006).

<sup>12</sup> Elaine Ditsler, Peter Fisher, and Colin Gordon, *On the Fringe: The Substandard Benefits of Workers in Part-Time, Temporary, and Contract Jobs* (New York: The Commonwealth Fund, December 2005).

<sup>13</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation and Health Research and Educational Trust, *Employer Health Benefits: 2007 Annual Survey*, op. cit.

<sup>14</sup> According to Families USA calculations based on data from Kaiser Family Foundation and Health Research and Educational Trust, *Employer Health Benefits: 2000 Annual Survey*, op. cit., and Kaiser Family Foundation and Health Research and Educational Trust, *Employer Health Benefits: 2007 Annual Survey*, op. cit., between 2000 and 2007, the employee share of insurance premiums increased by more than 98 percent.

<sup>15</sup> James Robinson, "Reinvention of Health Insurance in the Consumer Era," *Journal of the American Medical Association* 291, no. 15 (April 21, 2004): 1,880-1,886; Cathy Schoen, Michelle M. Doty, Sara R. Collins, and Alyssa L. Holmgren, "Insured but Not Protected: How Many Adults Are Underinsured?" *Health Affairs* Web Exclusive (June 14, 2005): W5-289-W5-302.

<sup>16</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation and Health Research and Educational Trust, *Employer Health Benefits: 2007 Annual Survey*, op. cit.

<sup>17</sup> Ibid.

<sup>18</sup> James Robinson, op. cit.

<sup>19</sup> Kaiser Family Foundation and Health Research and Educational Trust, *Employer Health Benefits: 2007 Annual Survey*, op. cit.

<sup>20</sup> U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO), *Consumer-Directed Health Plans: Early Enrollee Experiences with Health Savings Accounts and Eligible Health Plans* (Washington: GAO, August 2006); and Edwin Park and Robert Greenstein, *GAO Study Confirms Health Savings Accounts Primarily Benefit High-Income Individuals* (Washington: Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, September 2006).

<sup>21</sup> Department of the Treasury, Department of Labor, and Department of Health and Human Services, "Nondiscrimination and Wellness Programs in Health Coverage in the Group Market; Final Rules," *Federal Register* 71, no. 239 (December 13, 2006): 75,014-75,055, available online at <http://www.dol.gov/ebsa/regs/fedreg/final/2006009557.pdf>.

<sup>22</sup> Daniel Costello, "Workers Are Told to Shape Up or Pay Up; To Hold Down Medical Costs, Some Firms Are Penalizing Workers Who Are Overweight or Don't Meet Health Guidelines," *Los Angeles Times*, July 29, 2007.

<sup>23</sup> Julie Jacob, "Credit to Your Practice: Letting Patients Pay with Plastic," *American Medical News*, July 29, 2002.

- <sup>24</sup> Melissa Jacoby and Elizabeth Warren, "Beyond Hospital Misbehavior: An Alternative Account of Medical-Related Financial Distress," *Northwestern University Law Review* 100, no. 2 (Winter 2006): 535-584.
- <sup>25</sup> Sarah R. Collins, Jennifer L. Kriss, Karen Davis, Michelle M. Doty, and Alyssa L. Holmgren, *Squeezed: Why Rising Exposure to Health Care Costs Threatens the Health and Financial Well-Being of American Families* (Washington: The Commonwealth Fund, September 2006).
- <sup>26</sup> Michelle Doty, Jennifer Edwards, and Alyssa Holmgren, *Seeing Red: Americans Driven into Debt by Medical Bills* (New York: The Commonwealth Fund, August 2005).
- <sup>27</sup> Cathy Schoen, Michelle M. Doty, Sara R. Collins, and Alyssa L. Holmgren, op. cit.
- <sup>28</sup> David U. Himmelstein, Elizabeth Warren, Deborah Thorne, and Steffie Woolhandler, "Illness and Injury as Contributors to Bankruptcy," *Health Affairs* Web Exclusive (February 2, 2005): W5-63-W5-73. See also Sarah R. Collins, Jennifer L. Kriss, Karen Davis, Michelle M. Doty, and Alyssa L. Holmgren, *Squeezed: Why Rising Exposure to Health Care Costs Threatens the Health and Financial Well-Being of American Families*, op. cit.; and Sara R. Collins, Michelle M. Doty, Karen Davis, Cathy Schoen, Alyssa L. Holmgren, and Alice Ho, *The Affordability Crisis in U.S. Health Care: Findings from the Commonwealth Fund Biennial Health Insurance Survey* (New York: The Commonwealth Fund, March 2004).
- <sup>29</sup> Elizabeth Warren, *Medical Bankruptcy: Middle Class Families at Risk*, Testimony before the House Judiciary Committee, July 17, 2007.
- <sup>30</sup> David U. Himmelstein, Elizabeth Warren, Deborah Thorne, and Steffie Woolhandler, op. cit.
- <sup>31</sup> Cathy Schoen, Michelle Doty, Sara Collins, and Alyssa Holmgren, op. cit.; and Jessica Banthan and Didem Bernard, "Changes in Financial Burdens for Health Care: National Estimates for the Population Younger than 65 Years, 1996 to 2003," *Journal of the American Medical Association* 296, no. 22 (December 13, 2006): 2,712-2,719.
- <sup>32</sup> Linda Blumberg, John Holahan, Jack Hadley, and Katharine Nordahl, "Setting a Standard of Affordability for Health Insurance Coverage," *Health Affairs* Web Exclusive (June 4, 2007): W463-W473.
- <sup>33</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>34</sup> Elizabeth Warren, *Testimony Before the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the United States Senate*, Hearing on Examining the Billing, Marketing, and Disclosure Practices of the Credit Card Industry, and Their Impact on Consumers, January 25, 2007.
- <sup>35</sup> Sara Collins, Karen Davis, Michelle Doty, Jennifer Kriss, and Alyssa Holmgren, *Gaps in Health Insurance: An All American Problem* (New York: The Commonwealth Fund, 2006).
- <sup>36</sup> Ibid. See also *USA Today*, Kaiser Family Foundation, and Harvard School of Public Health, *Health Care Costs Survey* (Washington: August 2005), available online at <http://www.kff.org/newsmedia/upload/7371.pdf>, accessed on August 27, 2007.
- <sup>37</sup> Catherine Hoffman, Diane Rowland, and Elizabeth Hamel, *Medical Debt and Access to Health Care* (Washington: Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured, September 2005).
- <sup>38</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>39</sup> *USA Today*, Kaiser Family Foundation, and Harvard School of Public Health, op. cit.
- <sup>40</sup> Michelle Doty, Jennifer Edwards, and Alyssa Holmgren, op. cit.
- <sup>41</sup> Catherine Hoffman, Diane Rowland, and Elizabeth Hamel, op. cit. See also Cathy Schoen, Michelle M. Doty, Sara R. Collins, and Alyssa L. Holmgren, op. cit.
- <sup>42</sup> Michelle Doty, Jennifer Edwards, and Alyssa Holmgren, op. cit.
- <sup>43</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>44</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>45</sup> Catherine Hoffman, Diane Rowland, and Elizabeth Hamel, op. cit.
- <sup>46</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>47</sup> Cindy Zeldin and Mark Rukavina, *Borrowing to Stay Healthy: How Credit Card Debt Is Related to Medical Expenses* (New York: Demos and The Access Project, 2007). See also The Access Project, *The Consequences of Medical Debt: Evidence from Three Communities* (Boston: The Access Project, February 2003).
- <sup>48</sup> Sara Collins, Karen Davis, Michelle Doty, Jennifer Kriss, and Alyssa Holmgren, op. cit.
- <sup>49</sup> Cathy Schoen, Michelle Doty, Sara Collins, and Alyssa L. Holmgren, op. cit.
- <sup>50</sup> Robert Seifert and Mark Rukavina, "Bankruptcy Is the Tip of the Medical-Debt Iceberg," *Health Affairs* Web Exclusive (February 28, 2006): W89-W92.
- <sup>51</sup> David Himmelstein, Elizabeth Warren, Deborah Thorne, and Steffie Woolhandler, op. cit.
- <sup>52</sup> Elizabeth Warren, "Medical Bankruptcy: Middle Class Families at Risk," op. cit.
- <sup>53</sup> David Himmelstein, Elizabeth Warren, Deborah Thorne, and Steffie Woolhandler, op. cit.
- <sup>54</sup> The Access Project, op. cit.



1201 New York Avenue NW, Suite 1100 ■ Washington, DC 20005

Phone: 202-628-3030 ■ E-mail: [info@familiesusa.org](mailto:info@familiesusa.org)

[www.familiesusa.org](http://www.familiesusa.org)